

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/774,549	02/09/2004	Ken Furukuwa	81716.0119	1117	
26021 7	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.			BEVERIDGE, RACHEL E		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ES, CA 90071-2611		1725	1725	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/774,549	FURUKUWA, KEN			
	omeen callinary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Rachel E. Beveridge	1725			
Period fo	·	cars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence duaress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	ebruary 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2,5,6,8 and 16 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2,5,6,8 and 16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>10/223973</u> . ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s)  Dee of References Cited (PTO-892)  Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  The No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/774,549

Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant claims, "a cavity is defined along the entire length of the metal column" (claim 2, lines 10-11). The applicant's original disclosure and claims failed to explicitly disclose the limitation requiring the space (or cavity) defined along the entire length of the metal column. Applicant merely discloses that it is preferable that a space is "secured between an inner wall surface of the through hole and an outer wall surface of the metal column" (specification, p. 11, lines 6-8).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The applicant's original disclosure and claims failed to explicitly disclose the limitation requiring the space (or cavity) defined along the entire length of the metal column. Applicant merely discloses that it is preferable that a space is "secured between an

Application/Control Number: 10/774,549

Art Unit: 1725

inner wall surface of the through hole and an outer wall surface of the metal column" (specification, p. 11, lines 6-8).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,5,6, 8, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makoto et al. (JP406120634A).

Makoto discloses a method for making a ceramic board by preparing a ceramic substrate (figures 1-3[11]) with a through hole (figures 1-3[12]). Makoto also discloses a metal column (figure 1[13]) with a brazing material (figure 1[14A, 14B]) and metal circuit plates (figure 1[15A,15B]). Furthermore, Makoto discloses the metal column with brazing material within the through hole of the ceramic substrate and the metal plates on both sides of the substrate in order to fill the through hole (abstract). Makoto also discloses heating the brazing material and joining the metal column and the metal circuit plates together (abstract). Makoto discloses the metal column and brazing materials to be the "pin" (figure 1[13]) with a length slightly smaller than the length of the through hole (figure 1[12]), around 0.3mm to 0.4mm; thus, fitting within applicants said 40 to 140 μm longer relative to the thickness of the ceramic substrate. Also, Makoto lists approximate values for the length of the brazing materials on each side of the metal

Art Unit: 1725

column. Makoto discloses metal circuit plates (figure 1[15A, 15B]) made of aluminum and a metal column (figure 1[13]) made of aluminum alloy (abstract). Makoto clearly shows a space between the inner wall of the through hole and the outer wall of the metal column in figure 1[12]. Furthermore, figures 1c, 1d, 2, 3a, and 3b of Makoto define the space along the length of the column. Makoto also discloses a through hole with diameter of 1.0mm (paragraph 18) and a metal cylinder with diameter of 0.8mm (paragraph 20). Thus, the space between each wall of the column and substrate is respectively 0 to 0.1mm (100  $\mu m$ ) and fits within the applicants said range of 30 to 200 μm in length. Makoto does not specifically disclose the metal column alone to be 0 to 150 µm shorter than the length of the through hole. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Makoto by limiting the height of the metal column to 0 to 150  $\mu m$  relative to the thickness of the substrate in order to create a circuit board for large power to offer and combine the through hole structure where resistance is low with the simple flow approach of the diameter of the through hole (paragraph 6).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 10, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the space is not filled with the brazing material" p. 6 lines 4-5) are not recited in

Application/Control Number: 10/774,549

Art Unit: 1725

the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant argues Makoto fails to teach or suggest a cavity along the entire length of the metal column (p. 6, lines 21-22). However the examiner disagrees and points out that figures 1c, 1d, 2, 3a, and 3b of Makoto define the space along the length of the column.

Applicant also argues that the art (JP 2001068808 A) made of record by the examiner neither describes nor suggests the presently claimed invention (p. 7, lines 6-8). The examiner disagrees and notes that the art discloses features of claimed invention despite full disclosure of all of the embodiments of the claimed invention. For example, JP 2001068808 A discloses a metal circuit board fixed to each side of a ceramic board provided with a through-hole so as to stop up the through-hole, and a metal column of metal material (abstract English translation). JP 2001068808 A also discloses a metal column formed of a good conductor metal material such as copper, aluminum, silver or the like (abstract English translation).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,549 Page 6

Art Unit: 1725

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel E. Beveridge whose telephone number is 571-272-5169. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,549 Page 7

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

reb

ONATHAN JOHNSON DOMARY EXAMINER